



## COMMUNITY LEADERS COORDINATION MEETING SUMMARY

**Date/Time:** May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2013/4:30-6:30 P.M.

**Location:** Focus Points Family Resource Center

**Attendees\*:**

Wes Adkins	Matt Christenson	Nola Miquel	Harold Waggoner
Tom Anthony	Bettie Cram	Antonia Montoya	Roberta Waggoner
Sal Arrieta	Norberta Dominguz	Lula Palmisa	Linda Wambolt
Kathryn Barrett	Stanley Gronek	Raimundo Ribota	Tom Wambolt
Paul Brown	Serafin labral	Veronica Rivera	Bradley Zeig
Cliff Carney	Maxine Ichikawa	Frank Sullivan	
Rumualdo Chavez	John Kalvelage	Juan Veloz	

*\*Due to the informal nature of the meeting, attendees may have participated in the meeting without signing in.*

### I. INTRODUCTION

The I-70 East environmental impact statement (EIS) project team conducted a community leaders coordination meeting on May 15th, 2013 as part of the on-going community outreach process. The primary purpose of the meeting was to discuss the results of the corridor-wide meeting, inform attendees of upcoming opportunities to stay involved, and discuss the highway cover design guidelines.

The meeting started at 4:30 p.m. as an informal meeting with no presentation. The informal style let people arrive at their convenience, get information that interests them, and provide feedback at any time during the meeting by completing a comment sheet or discussing their thoughts and concerns with a project team member. Boards and plots were available to let the public view the progress in the project.

The meeting included discussion tables facilitated by project team members. The discussion tables allowed individuals to interact with each other, share their thoughts and opinions, and give them an opportunity to discuss their concerns with a project team member in more detail. Spanish translation and light refreshments were available at this meeting.

### II. SUMMARY OF DISCUSSIONS

Below is a summary of the discussions and comments at the meeting:

- A Community member expressed opposition to the Partial Cover Lowered Option and stated her support for the Revised Viaduct North Option
- Few participants inquired about the reroute and if CDOT is considering rerouting the traffic to I-270/I-76.
- Several questions were asked regarding the project separation from RTD and project limits.

- One community member inquired about acquisition of her property in the project area.

### **III. SUMMARY OF COMMENT SHEETS**

The following section details the comments received to date from the comment sheets distributed at the meeting. These comments are recorded verbatim.

**1. Do you agree with the recommendation of the Partial Cover Lowered Option as the Preferred Alternative?**

- No

**2. Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning the Partial Cover Lowered Option that you would like the project team to consider?**

- The grass and trees are a problem. The children have to cross two lanes of traffic to cross to the covered option. The pollution (as they say) will go up. I think up to the school.

**3. Do you have any comments about the other alternative that are being analyzed?**

**4. Would you like to be a community leader to be more involved with the project by attending meetings and providing input to CDOT? If yes, what is the best way to contact you?**

**5. Do you have any questions or comments about future community outreach?**

**6. What are the most important community resources for you?**

**7. Any additional comments or questions?**