

Table of Contents: Volume 1 of 3

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Acronyms and Abbreviations | A-1 |
| Executive Summary | ES-1 |
| ES.1 What is the I-70 East EIS project and where is it located? | ES-1 |
| ES.2 What is the background of the I-70 East EIS project? | ES-2 |
| ES.3 What is the project’s purpose and need? | ES-3 |
| ES.4 Which alternatives are analyzed in this Supplemental Draft EIS? | ES-5 |
| ES.5 What is the project’s preliminarily identified Preferred Alternative and why? | ES-9 |
| ES.6 What are the project’s transportation impacts? | ES-9 |
| ES.7 What resources are evaluated for impacts and benefits in the project area? | ES-13 |
| ES.8 How are the public and stakeholders involved in the I-70 East EIS project? | ES-19 |
| ES.9 What are the project impacts to Section 4(f) resources? | ES-20 |
| ES.10 What are the next steps after the Supplemental Draft EIS? | ES-21 |
| Chapter 1: Introduction..... | 1-1 |
| 1.1 What is the history of the I-70 East Corridor? | 1-1 |
| 1.2 What is the NEPA process? | 1-2 |
| 1.3 What is the project? | 1-2 |
| 1.4 Who has been involved in planning the environmental process? | 1-3 |
| 1.5 Why did CDOT and FHWA prepare a Supplemental Draft EIS? | 1-3 |
| 1.6 What has happened since the 2008 Draft EIS? | 1-4 |
| 1.7 How were comments on the 2008 Draft EIS addressed? | 1-6 |
| 1.8 What resulted in re-evaluation of the alternatives? | 1-7 |
| 1.9 What happens after the Supplemental Draft EIS? | 1-8 |
| 1.10 When will the project be built? | 1-8 |
| 1.11 How can I be involved, and how will CDOT communicate with the public? | 1-8 |
| Chapter 2: Purpose and Need | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Why was the I-70 East project initiated? | 2-1 |
| 2.2 What is the horizon year of analysis for this project? | 2-2 |
| 2.3 What are the project limits and why were they selected? | 2-2 |
| 2.4 What is the purpose of the project? | 2-3 |
| 2.5 Why is this project needed now? | 2-4 |
| 2.6 How is the project purpose and need used to evaluate potential alternatives? | 2-9 |
| Chapter 3: Summary of Project Alternatives | 3-1 |
| 3.1 What is the history of the project alternatives? | 3-1 |
| 3.2 What is the alternatives enhancement and modification process? | 3-3 |
| 3.3 What were the changes to the 2008 Draft EIS alternatives during the modification and enhancement process? | 3-4 |
| 3.4 What happened to the citizen-proposed tunnel concept? | 3-10 |
| 3.5 Why was the I-270/I-76 Reroute Alternative eliminated in the 2008 Draft EIS? | 3-11 |
| 3.6 What is the No-Action Alternative? | 3-13 |
| 3.7 What are the Build Alternatives? | 3-17 |
| 3.8 Are other design variations being considered? | 3-31 |
| 3.9 What are the capital and maintenance costs of the Supplemental Draft EIS alternatives? | 3-36 |

| | | |
|--|---|--------------|
| 3.10 | What is the project's financing strategy and how is it related to the regional and statewide transportation planning process? | 3-38 |
| 3.11 | What is the preliminarily identified Preferred Alternative?..... | 3-41 |
| 3.12 | How will the preliminarily identified Preferred Alternative be constructed? | 3-47 |
| Chapter 4: Transportation Impacts and Mitigation Measures | | 4-1 |
| 4.1 | What are the existing transportation facilities in the study area? | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | How were future traffic and transportation evaluated?..... | 4-20 |
| 4.3 | How do the project alternatives affect the transportation facilities? | 4-21 |
| 4.4 | Summary of transportation impacts and mitigation measures | 4-50 |
| Chapter 5: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation | | 5.1-1 |
| 5.1 | Introduction to Chapter 5 | 5.1-1 |
| 5.1.1 | What is the study area? | 5.1-1 |
| 5.1.2 | What are the alternatives analyzed in Chapter 5? | 5.1-2 |
| 5.1.3 | How did the project team collect and update data for the environmental analysis? | 5.1-3 |
| 5.1.4 | What resources are not affected by the project alternatives? | 5.1-4 |
| 5.2 | Social and Economic Conditions | 5.2-1 |
| 5.2.1 | What are social and economic conditions and why are they important to this project? | 5.2-1 |
| 5.2.2 | Have there been changes to the social and economic conditions in the project area since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.2-1 |
| 5.2.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze social and economic conditions?..... | 5.2-2 |
| 5.2.4 | What are the existing conditions of the neighborhoods in the study area? | 5.2-4 |
| 5.2.5 | Have there been changes to public services and facilities in the study area? | 5.2-10 |
| 5.2.6 | What are the existing social characteristics and recent trends of the neighborhoods in the study area? | 5.2-11 |
| 5.2.7 | What are the impacts to neighborhood social conditions?..... | 5.2-25 |
| 5.2.8 | What are the current health conditions in the study area?..... | 5.2-26 |
| 5.2.9 | How does the No-Action Alternative impact neighborhood social conditions? | 5.2-28 |
| 5.2.10 | How do the Build Alternatives (Revised Viaduct Alternative and Partial Cover Lowered Alternative) impact neighborhood social conditions?..... | 5.2-30 |
| 5.2.11 | What are the construction impacts on social resources? | 5.2-39 |
| 5.2.12 | What are the economic conditions in the study area? | 5.2-40 |
| 5.2.13 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect economic resources? | 5.2-43 |
| 5.2.14 | What are the effects to economic conditions by the No-Action Alternative? | 5.2-43 |
| 5.2.15 | What are the effects to economic conditions from the Build Alternatives? | 5.2-45 |
| 5.2.16 | What are the construction impacts on economic resources?..... | 5.2-48 |
| 5.2.17 | How are negative effects to social and economic conditions mitigated? | 5.2-49 |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------------|
| 5.3 | Environmental Justice | 5.3-1 |
| 5.3.1 | What is environmental justice and why is it important to this project?..... | 5.3-1 |
| 5.3.2 | Have there been changes to low-income and minority populations in the study area or to the environmental justice analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.3-1 |
| 5.3.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze the environmental justice impacts? | 5.3-2 |
| 5.3.4 | How are the low-income and minority populations distributed in the study area? | 5.3-3 |
| 5.3.5 | How were the low-income and minority populations involved in the project analysis? | 5.3-6 |
| 5.3.6 | What did the project team do to avoid impacts to the low-income and minority populations? | 5.3-8 |
| 5.3.7 | What has the project team done to minimize the impacts to the low-income and minority populations? | 5.3-9 |
| 5.3.8 | How are the environmental justice impacts of the project identified?..... | 5.3-9 |
| 5.3.9 | What impacts to low-income and minority populations are similar among all the alternatives? | 5.3-10 |
| 5.3.10 | What benefits to the low-income and minority populations are similar among all alternatives? | 5.3-11 |
| 5.3.11 | What mitigation measures are similar among all Alternatives? | 5.3-12 |
| 5.3.12 | What are the environmental justice impacts specific to No-Action Alternative and what are the benefits and mitigation measures? | 5.3-13 |
| 5.3.13 | What are the environmental justice impacts specific to the Revised Viaduct Alternative? | 5.3-14 |
| 5.3.14 | What are the benefits of the Revised Viaduct Alternative? | 5.3-18 |
| 5.3.15 | What are the environmental justice mitigation measures for the Revised Viaduct Alternative? | 5.3-19 |
| 5.3.16 | Does the Revised Viaduct Alternative result in disproportionately high and adverse impacts to low-income and minority populations? | 5.3-21 |
| 5.3.17 | What are the environmental justice impacts specific to the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative?..... | 5.3-22 |
| 5.3.18 | What are the benefits of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative?..... | 5.3-24 |
| 5.3.19 | What are the environmental justice mitigation measures for the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative? | 5.3-26 |
| 5.3.20 | Does the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative result in disproportionately high and adverse impacts to low-income and minority populations? | 5.3-29 |
| 5.3.21 | What are the impacts specific to the Managed Lanes Option? | 5.3-29 |
| 5.3.22 | What are the benefits specific to the Managed Lanes Option? | 5.3-30 |
| 5.3.23 | What are the environmental justice mitigation measures for the Managed Lanes Option? | 5.3-30 |
| 5.3.24 | What is the summary of environmental justice impacts and mitigation measures of the project's Build Alternatives? | 5.3-31 |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------------|
| 5.4 | Land Use | 5.4-1 |
| 5.4.1 | What is land use and why is it important to this project? | 5.4-1 |
| 5.4.2 | Have there been changes to land use in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.4-1 |
| 5.4.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze land use? | 5.4-1 |
| 5.4.4 | What land use and transportation plans are considered? | 5.4-3 |
| 5.4.5 | How is this project related to the North Denver Cornerstone Collaborative? | 5.4-6 |
| 5.4.6 | What are the existing and proposed future land uses in the study area? | 5.4-7 |
| 5.4.7 | Are existing and future planned land uses consistent with existing zoning? | 5.4-9 |
| 5.4.8 | How do local agencies account for future land use change? | 5.4-9 |
| 5.4.9 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect land use in the study area? | 5.4-10 |
| 5.4.10 | Are the project alternatives consistent with local and regional plans? | 5.4-11 |
| 5.4.11 | How are land use changes by the project alternatives mitigated? | 5.4-15 |
| 5.5 | Relocations and Displacements | 5.5-1 |
| 5.5.1 | What is a relocation or displacement and why is it important to this project? | 5.5-1 |
| 5.5.2 | Have there been changes to relocations and displacements in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.5-1 |
| 5.5.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze potential relocations and displacements? | 5.5-2 |
| 5.5.4 | How are the negative effects from land acquisition by the project alternatives mitigated? | 5.5-20 |
| 5.6 | Historic Preservation | 5.6-1 |
| 5.6.1 | What are historic resources and why are they important to this project? | 5.6-1 |
| 5.6.2 | Have there been changes to historic resources in the project area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.6-1 |
| 5.6.3 | What consultation has been conducted for the Supplemental Draft EIS? | 5.6-3 |
| 5.6.4 | What is the Area of Potential Effect and what evaluation process were used to analyze historic resources? | 5.6-4 |
| 5.6.5 | What are the areas of interest for historic preservation that are being analyzed and what are their existing conditions? | 5.6-5 |
| 5.6.6 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect historic resources? | 5.6-6 |
| 5.6.7 | How are the adverse effects from the project alternatives mitigated for historic resources? | 5.6-13 |
| 5.7 | Paleontological Resources | 5.7-1 |
| 5.7.1 | What are paleontological resources and why are they important to this project? | 5.7-1 |
| 5.7.2 | Have there been changes to paleontological resources in the project area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.7-1 |
| 5.7.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze paleontological resources? | 5.7-1 |
| 5.7.4 | What are the areas of interest for paleontological resources that are being analyzed and what are their existing conditions? | 5.7-3 |
| 5.7.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect paleontological resources? | 5.7-3 |
| 5.7.6 | How are the adverse effects from the project alternatives mitigated for paleontological resources? | 5.7-4 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|---------------|
| 5.8 | Visual Resources and Aesthetic Qualities | 5.8-1 |
| 5.8.1 | What are visual resources and why are they important to this project? | 5.8-1 |
| 5.8.2 | Have there been changes to visual resources in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.8-1 |
| 5.8.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze visual resources?..... | 5.8-1 |
| 5.8.4 | What are the existing visual resources along I-70?..... | 5.8-2 |
| 5.8.5 | What are the potential impacts to visual resources from the project alternatives? | 5.8-6 |
| 5.8.6 | How were the potential impacts to visual resources assessed? | 5.8-10 |
| 5.8.7 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives to visual resources mitigated? | 5.8-20 |
| 5.9 | Parks and Recreation | 5.9-1 |
| 5.9.1 | What are parks and recreational areas and why are they important to this project? | 5.9-1 |
| 5.9.2 | Have there been changes to parks and recreational areas in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.9-1 |
| 5.9.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze parks and recreational areas? | 5.9-2 |
| 5.9.4 | What are the parks and recreational areas that are being analyzed and what are their existing conditions? | 5.9-2 |
| 5.9.5 | Are there impacts to Section 6(f) resources caused by the project alternatives? | 5.9-3 |
| 5.9.6 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect parks and recreational areas? | 5.9-4 |
| 5.9.7 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated?..... | 5.9-16 |
| 5.10 | Air Quality | 5.10-1 |
| 5.10.1 | What are air quality concerns and why are they important to this project? | 5.10-1 |
| 5.10.2 | Have there been any changes to the air quality resources or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.10-5 |
| 5.10.3 | What study area was used to analyze air quality? | 5.10-7 |
| 5.10.4 | What are the existing conditions for air quality?..... | 5.10-8 |
| 5.10.5 | What process was used to analyze air quality? | 5.10-13 |
| 5.10.6 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect air quality?..... | 5.10-26 |
| 5.10.7 | What are the summary points of this air quality analysis? | 5.10-41 |
| 5.10.8 | Will mitigation efforts be required to offset any negative effects on air quality from the project alternatives?..... | 5.10-42 |
| 5.11 | Energy | 5.11-1 |
| 5.11.1 | What is energy and why is it important to this project? | 5.11-1 |
| 5.11.2 | Have there been any changes to the energy resources or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.11-1 |
| 5.11.3 | What study area and methodology were used to analyze energy impacts? | 5.11-1 |
| 5.11.4 | What are the existing conditions for energy consumption?..... | 5.11-3 |
| 5.11.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect energy consumption?..... | 5.11-3 |
| 5.11.6 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for energy? | 5.11-5 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|---------------|
| 5.12 | Noise | 5.12-1 |
| 5.12.1 | What is noise and why is it important to this project? | 5.12-1 |
| 5.12.2 | Have there been changes to noise conditions in the study area or the required analysis process since the 2008 draft EIS? | 5.12-1 |
| 5.12.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze noise? | 5.12-2 |
| 5.12.4 | How are noise impacts described in this section? | 5.12-4 |
| 5.12.5 | How do the project alternatives affect noise in Globeville? | 5.12-5 |
| 5.12.6 | How do the project alternatives affect noise in Elyria and Swansea? | 5.12-10 |
| 5.12.7 | How is noise in Stapleton affected by the project alternatives? | 5.12-25 |
| 5.12.8 | How is noise in the Peoria Street area and Montbello affected by the project alternatives? | 5.12-27 |
| 5.12.9 | How is noise in Aurora affected by the project alternatives? | 5.12-33 |
| 5.12.10 | Are noise impacts going to be mitigated? | 5.12-36 |
| 5.12.11 | How will noise impacts be mitigated? | 5.12-37 |
| 5.12.12 | What are the impacts from noise and vibration during construction? | 5.12-65 |
| 5.12.13 | How will construction noise be mitigated? | 5.12-65 |
| 5.13 | Biological Resources | 5.13-1 |
| 5.13.1 | What are biological resources and why are they important to this project? | 5.13-1 |
| 5.13.2 | Have there been changes to biological resources in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.13-1 |
| 5.13.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze biological resources? | 5.13-2 |
| 5.13.4 | What are the existing conditions of biological resources analyzed in the study area? | 5.13-3 |
| 5.13.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect biological resources? | 5.13-4 |
| 5.13.6 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for biological resources? | 5.13-12 |
| 5.14 | Floodplains and Drainage/Hydrology | 5.14-1 |
| 5.14.1 | What are floodplains and ponding areas and why are they important to this project? | 5.14-1 |
| 5.14.2 | Have there been any changes to floodplains and drainage/hydrology in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.14-1 |
| 5.14.3 | What study area and process were used to analyze floodplains and drainage? | 5.14-1 |
| 5.14.4 | What are the areas of floodplain and drainage interest that are being analyzed and what are their existing conditions? | 5.14-3 |
| 5.14.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect floodplains and drainage? | 5.14-4 |
| 5.14.6 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for floodplains and drainage? | 5.14-6 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|---------------|
| 5.15 | Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S. | 5.15-1 |
| 5.15.1 | What are wetlands and other waters of the U.S. and why are they important to this project? | 5.15-1 |
| 5.15.2 | Have there been any changes to wetlands and other waters of the U.S. in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.15-1 |
| 5.15.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze wetlands and other waters of the U.S. in the study area? | 5.15-2 |
| 5.15.4 | What are the existing conditions of wetlands and other waters of the U.S.? | 5.15-3 |
| 5.15.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially impact wetlands and other waters of the U.S.? | 5.15-5 |
| 5.15.6 | Can impacts to wetlands and other waters of the U.S. be avoided? If not, how were impacts minimized? | 5.15-7 |
| 5.15.7 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for wetlands and other waters of the U.S.? | 5.15-7 |
| 5.16 | Water Quality | 5.16-1 |
| 5.16.1 | What is water quality and why is it important to this project? | 5.16-1 |
| 5.16.2 | Have there been changes to water quality in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.16-1 |
| 5.16.3 | What study area and process was used to analyze water quality? | 5.16-2 |
| 5.16.4 | What are the areas of water quality interest that are being analyzed, what is the existing water quality condition in the study area, and how do the project alternatives impact it? | 5.16-3 |
| 5.16.5 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for water quality? | 5.16-5 |
| 5.17 | Geology and Soils | 5.17-1 |
| 5.17.1 | What are geology and soil resources and why are they important to this project?..... | 5.17-1 |
| 5.17.2 | Have there been any changes to geology and soils in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.17-1 |
| 5.17.3 | What study area and process was used to analyze impacts to geology and soil resources? | 5.17-1 |
| 5.17.4 | What are the existing geology and soils resources in the study area?..... | 5.17-2 |
| 5.17.5 | How do the project alternatives affect the geology and soil resources? | 5.17-3 |
| 5.17.6 | How are the impacts to the geological resources minimized and mitigated? | 5.17-4 |
| 5.18 | Hazardous Materials | 5.18-1 |
| 5.18.1 | What are hazardous materials and why are they important to this project?..... | 5.18-1 |
| 5.18.2 | Have there been changes to hazardous materials in the study area or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.18-1 |
| 5.18.3 | What study area and process was used to analyze hazardous materials?..... | 5.18-2 |
| 5.18.4 | What are the areas of interest for hazardous materials that are being analyzed and what are their existing conditions? | 5.18-4 |
| 5.18.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially encounter hazardous materials?..... | 5.18-11 |
| 5.18.6 | What are the impacts to hazardous materials during construction?..... | 5.18-14 |
| 5.18.7 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for hazardous materials? | 5.18-15 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------|
| 5.19 | Utilities | 5.19-1 |
| 5.19.1 | What are utilities and why are they important to this project? | 5.19-1 |
| 5.19.2 | Have there been any changes to the utilities or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.19-1 |
| 5.19.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze utility impacts? | 5.19-2 |
| 5.19.4 | What are the existing conditions for utilities in the study area? | 5.19-4 |
| 5.19.5 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect utilities? | 5.19-9 |
| 5.19.6 | How are the impacts from the project alternatives mitigated for the utilities? | 5.19-21 |
| 5.20 | Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources | 5.20-1 |
| 5.20.1 | What is an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources and why is it important to this project? | 5.20-1 |
| 5.20.2 | Have there been any changes to the irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.20-1 |
| 5.20.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to analyze the irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources? | 5.20-1 |
| 5.20.4 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect irreversible and irretrievable resources? | 5.20-2 |
| 5.20.5 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for these resources? | 5.20-4 |
| 5.20.5 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for these resources? | 5.20-4 |
| 5.21 | Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity | 5.21-1 |
| 5.21.1 | What is the relationship between the local short-term uses of the environment and long-term productivity and why is it important to this project? | 5.21-1 |
| 5.21.2 | Have there been any changes to short-term uses and long term productivity since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 5.21-1 |
| 5.21.3 | What study area and evaluation process were used to determine the short-term uses on long-term productivity? | 5.21-1 |
| 5.21.4 | How do the project alternatives potentially affect short-term uses and long-term productivity? | 5.21-1 |
| 5.21.5 | How are the negative effects from the project alternatives mitigated for short-term uses? | 5.21-2 |
| 5.22 | Summary of Impacts and Mitigations | 5.22-1 |
| Chapter 6: | Cumulative Impacts | 6-1 |
| 6.1 | What are cumulative impacts and why are they important to this project? | 6-1 |
| 6.2 | Have there been changes to the cumulative impacts study area, analysis process, or potential impacts since the 2008 Draft EIS? | 6-1 |
| 6.3 | What resources, study areas, and methods are included in this cumulative impacts analysis? | 6-1 |
| 6.4 | What past, present, and future actions are considered? | 6-5 |
| 6.5 | What are the anticipated cumulative impacts? | 6-6 |
| 6.6 | What are the cumulative benefits? | 6-27 |

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Chapter 7: Community Outreach and Agency Involvement | 7-1 |
| 7.1 What are the objectives of public and agency involvement?..... | 7-1 |
| 7.2 What was the outcome of the 2008 Draft EIS public hearings and public comment period? | 7-3 |
| 7.3 What was the Preferred Alternative Collaborative Team process?..... | 7-5 |
| 7.4 What happened after the PACT process?..... | 7-7 |
| 7.5 How have communities and agencies been involved since completion of the PACT process? | 7-8 |
| 7.6 What has been the reaction to the preliminarily identified Preferred Alternative?..... | 7-12 |
| 7.7 How has the outreach process since the 2008 Draft EIS affected the Supplemental Draft EIS? | 7-12 |
| 7.8 What is HPTe's transparency policy and public engagement process? | 7-13 |
| 7.9 What future public and agency involvement opportunities will be provided? | 7-13 |
| Chapter 8: Section 4(f) Evaluation | 8-1 |
| 8.1 What are Section 4(f) properties and why are they important to this project?..... | 8-1 |
| 8.2 What federal regulations mandate a Section 4(f) evaluation?..... | 8-1 |
| 8.3 What constitutes a "use" under Section 4(f)? | 8-2 |
| 8.4 How is a de minimis finding determined?..... | 8-2 |
| 8.5 How have the alternatives under consideration changed since the 2008 Draft EIS was issued?..... | 8-3 |
| 8.6 Have there been any changes to Section 4(f) properties or to the analysis process since the release of the 2008 Draft EIS? | 8-8 |
| 8.7 What Section 4(f) properties are located within the project area?..... | 8-8 |
| 8.8 Does the proposed project use any Section 4(f) properties? | 8-9 |
| 8.9 Are there any feasible or prudent alternatives that will avoid using Section 4(f) properties? | 8-91 |
| 8.10 How does the project incorporate all possible planning to minimize harm? | 8-100 |
| 8.11 In summary, how do the alternatives under consideration use Section 4(f) properties? | 8-103 |
| 8.12 What alternative will have the least overall harm? | 8-109 |
| 8.13 What coordination has been completed with officials having jurisdiction over Section 4(f) properties? | 8-127 |
| 8.14 What is the conclusion of this Section 4(f) Evaluation?..... | 8-127 |
| References | REF-1 |
| List of Preparers | P-1 |
| List of Recipients | R-1 |

List of Exhibits

Executive Summary

| | | |
|------|---|-------|
| ES-1 | I-70 East project limits | ES-2 |
| ES-2 | Alternatives evolution since 2008 Draft EIS | ES-6 |
| ES-3 | Summary of project alternatives and options | ES-6 |
| ES-4 | 2035 Peak period traffic on I-70 | ES-10 |
| ES-5 | 2035 Average daily traffic on I-70..... | ES-11 |

Chapter 1: Introduction

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 1-1 | 2008 Draft EIS alternatives compared to Supplemental Draft EIS alternatives | 1-6 |
|-----|---|-----|

Chapter 2: Purpose and Need

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2-1 | Project area | 2-3 |
| 2-2 | Heavy vehicle percentage of traffic | 2-6 |
| 2-3 | Travel time | 2-7 |
| 2-4 | Daily hours of traffic congestion | 2-8 |

Chapter 3: Summary of Project Alternatives

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 3-1 | Alternative screening process | 3-2 |
| 3-2 | Alternative modification from the 2008 Draft EIS to the Supplemental Draft EIS | 3-4 |
| 3-3 | Managed Lanes Option typical section between Colorado Boulevard and I-270..... | 3-7 |
| 3-4 | Realignment Alternatives | 3-7 |
| 3-5 | Citizen-proposed tunnel concept..... | 3-11 |
| 3-6 | I-270/I-76 Reroute Alternative | 3-12 |
| 3-7 | No-Action Alternative lane configuration and interchange reconstruction..... | 3-14 |
| 3-8 | No-Action Alternative Options | 3-15 |
| 3-9 | No-Action Alternative typical section (looking east) | 3-16 |
| 3-10 | Revised Viaduct Alternative lane configuration and interchange reconstruction..... | 3-20 |
| 3-11 | Revised Viaduct Alternative and Options..... | 3-21 |
| 3-12 | Typical section for the Revised Viaduct Alternative, General-Purpose Lanes Option (Between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-21 |
| 3-13 | Typical section for the Revised Viaduct Alternative, Managed Lanes Option (between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-22 |
| 3-14 | Profile view of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative from Brighton Boulevard to Colorado Boulevard..... | 3-24 |
| 3-15 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative..... | 3-25 |
| 3-16 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option lane configuration and interchange reconstruction | 3-26 |
| 3-17 | Typical section of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option with general-purpose lanes (between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-27 |
| 3-18 | Typical section of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option with managed lanes (between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-27 |
| 3-19 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option lane configuration and interchange reconstruction | 3-29 |
| 3-20 | Typical section of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option with general-purpose lanes (between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-30 |
| 3-21 | Typical section of the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option with managed lanes (between Brighton Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard) | 3-30 |

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 3-22 | Steele Street/Vasquez Boulevard and Colorado Boulevard split diamond interchange | 3-33 |
| 3-23 | Full diamond interchange at Colorado Boulevard | 3-33 |
| 3-24 | Steele Street/Vasquez Boulevard design variation with roundabouts | 3-34 |
| 3-25 | Steele Street/Vasquez Boulevard design variation with signalized intersections | 3-34 |
| 3-26 | Supplemental Draft EIS alternatives capital cost summary | 3-37 |
| 3-27 | Supplemental Draft EIS alternatives maintenance cost summary | 3-37 |
| 3-28 | Relationship between DRCOG and CDOT planning documents | 3-40 |
| 3-29 | Build alternatives property acquisitions | 3-44 |

Chapter 4: Transportation Impacts and Mitigation Measures

| | | |
|------|---|------|
| 4-1 | Transportation impacts study area | 4-2 |
| 4-2 | Existing north-south connectivity across I-70 | 4-3 |
| 4-3 | Existing north-south connectivity from Brighton Boulevard to Colorado Boulevard | 4-3 |
| 4-4 | 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive North/South Existing Conditions | 4-4 |
| 4-5 | Existing transit service | 4-5 |
| 4-6 | Existing bicycle and pedestrian network | 4-6 |
| 4-7 | Elyria and Swansea bicycle and bus routes | 4-7 |
| 4-8 | Existing truck routes | 4-8 |
| 4-9 | Existing freight rail facilities | 4-9 |
| 4-10 | Traffic modeling process | 4-10 |
| 4-11 | DynusT sub-area model limits | 4-11 |
| 4-12 | Existing I-70 traffic volumes | 4-13 |
| 4-13 | Existing 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive traffic volumes | 4-14 |
| 4-14 | Example heat diagram showing average speeds on I-70 | 4-16 |
| 4-15 | Existing I-70 average speeds | 4-17 |
| 4-16 | East-west parallel route screenlines | 4-19 |
| 4-17 | Existing screenline volumes | 4-19 |
| 4-18 | 2035 transportation system improvements | 4-20 |
| 4-19 | Summary of project alternatives and options | 4-21 |
| 4-20 | North/south connectivity with Revised Viaduct Alternative | 4-24 |
| 4-21 | North/south connectivity over I-70 with Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option | 4-25 |
| 4-22 | North/south connectivity over I-70 with Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option | 4-26 |
| 4-23 | No-Action Alternative, I-70 volumes | 4-30 |
| 4-24 | Build Alternatives, I-70 volumes | 4-31 |
| 4-25 | No-Action Alternative, 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive North/South volumes | 4-33 |
| 4-26 | Build Alternatives, 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive North/South volumes | 4-34 |
| 4-27 | No-Action Alternative average speeds | 4-35 |
| 4-28 | I-70 average speeds for Revised Viaduct Alternative with General-Purpose Lanes | 4-37 |
| 4-29 | I-70 average speeds for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option with General-Purpose Lanes | 4-37 |
| 4-30 | I-70 average speeds for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option with General-Purpose Lanes | 4-38 |
| 4-31 | I-70 average speeds for Revised Viaduct Alternative with Managed Lanes | 4-38 |
| 4-32 | I-70 average speeds for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option with Managed Lanes | 4-39 |

| | | |
|------|---|------|
| 4-33 | I-70 average speeds for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option with Managed Lanes | 4-39 |
| 4-34 | VMT, No-Action Alternative | 4-40 |
| 4-35 | VMT, Build Alternatives | 4-41 |
| 4-36 | VHT, No-Action Alternative | 4-41 |
| 4-37 | VHT, Build Alternatives | 4-42 |
| 4-38 | No-Action Alternative, I-70 travel times | 4-43 |
| 4-39 | Build Alternatives, I-70 travel times | 4-45 |
| 4-40 | No-Action Alternative, 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive North/South travel times | 4-46 |
| 4-41 | Build Alternatives, 46th Avenue and Stapleton Drive North/South travel times | 4-47 |
| 4-42 | No-Action Alternative, screenline volumes | 4-48 |
| 4-43 | Build Alternatives, screenline volumes | 4-49 |
| 4-44 | Summary of transportation impacts and mitigation | 4-52 |

Chapter 5: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation

5.1 Introduction to Chapter 5

| | | |
|-------|---|-------|
| 5.1-1 | Project area, project limits, and construction limits | 5.1-2 |
| 5.1-2 | Summary of project alternatives and options | 5.1-3 |

5.2 Social and Economic Conditions

| | | |
|--------|---|--------|
| 5.2-1 | Study area for social and economic conditions | 5.2-2 |
| 5.2-2 | Public services and facilities | 5.2-10 |
| 5.2-3 | Population trends, percentage population change 2000 to 2010 | 5.2-12 |
| 5.2-4 | Forecasted population increase | 5.2-13 |
| 5.2-5 | 2010 age distribution | 5.2-15 |
| 5.2-6 | 2010 racial composition | 5.2-17 |
| 5.2-7 | 2010 Hispanic or Latino origin | 5.2-18 |
| 5.2-8 | Limited English Proficiency analysis summary | 5.2-19 |
| 5.2-9 | Increase in housing units from 2000 to 2010 | 5.2-20 |
| 5.2-10 | Occupancy status of housing units | 5.2-21 |
| 5.2-11 | Median household income | 5.2-22 |
| 5.2-12 | Median home value | 5.2-23 |
| 5.2-13 | Estimated percentage of households with median family income of \$24,999 or less (low-income) | 5.2-24 |
| 5.2-14 | Percentage of households with no personal vehicles | 5.2-25 |
| 5.2-15 | Conceptual design for activities under the viaduct | 5.2-33 |
| 5.2-16 | Conceptual design of the urban landscape on the highway cover | 5.2-34 |
| 5.2-17 | Swansea Elementary School preliminary design choices, Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 5.2-35 |
| 5.2-18 | Annual unemployment rate | 5.2-41 |
| 5.2-19 | Percentage of residents with less than 10-minute travel time to work | 5.2-42 |
| 5.2-20 | Jobs created | 5.2-49 |
| 5.2-21 | Summary of social and economic conditions impacts and mitigations | 5.2-52 |

5.3 Environmental Justice

| | | |
|-------|--|-------|
| 5.3-1 | Environmental justice study area | 5.3-2 |
| 5.3-2 | Hispanic or Latino populations in the study area | 5.3-4 |
| 5.3-3 | African American populations in the study area | 5.3-4 |

| | | |
|------------|--|--------|
| 5.3-4 | Percentage of low-income households in the study area..... | 5.3-5 |
| 5.3-5 | Low-income and minority populations in the study area | 5.3-6 |
| 5.3-6 | Spanish speaking LEP population in the study area | 5.3-7 |
| 5.3-7 | Food markets and grocery stores in the area..... | 5.3-16 |
| 5.3-8 | Summary of environmental justice impacts and mitigations..... | 5.3-34 |
| 5.4 | Land Use | |
| 5.4-1 | Land use study area..... | 5.4-2 |
| 5.4-2 | North Denver Cornerstone Collaborative Projects | 5.4-7 |
| 5.4-3 | Existing land use | 5.4-8 |
| 5.4-4 | Summary of existing land use (acres) in study area | 5.4-8 |
| 5.4-5 | Existing zoning | 5.4-9 |
| 5.4-6 | Planned Areas of Change and strategic area | 5.4-10 |
| 5.4-7 | Summary of land use change (acres) | 5.4-11 |
| 5.4-8 | Summary of land use impacts and mitigation..... | 5.4-15 |
| 5.5 | Relocations and Displacements | |
| 5.5-1 | Relocations and displacements study area..... | 5.5-2 |
| 5.5-2 | Residential relocations by alternative..... | 5.5-4 |
| 5.5-3 | No-Action Alternative, North Option residential relocations | 5.5-5 |
| 5.5-4 | No-Action Alternative, South Option residential relocations..... | 5.5-5 |
| 5.5-5 | Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option residential relocations | 5.5-6 |
| 5.5-6 | Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option residential relocations..... | 5.5-7 |
| 5.5-7 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option residential relocations..... | 5.5-8 |
| 5.5-8 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option residential relocations..... | 5.5-8 |
| 5.5-9 | Property value of relocated owner-occupied households by alternative/option (land and improvements)..... | 5.5-10 |
| 5.5-10 | Business and non-profit relocations by alternative and neighborhood..... | 5.5-11 |
| 5.5-11 | No-Action Alternative, North Option business relocations..... | 5.5-12 |
| 5.5-12 | No-Action Alternative, South Option business relocations | 5.5-13 |
| 5.5-13 | Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option business relocations in the Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood..... | 5.5-14 |
| 5.5-14 | Business relocations in the Northeast Park Hill and Stapleton Neighborhoods..... | 5.5-15 |
| 5.5-15 | Revised Viaduct South Option business relocations in the Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood..... | 5.5-16 |
| 5.5-16 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option business relocations in the Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood..... | 5.5-17 |
| 5.5-17 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option business relocations in the Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood..... | 5.5-18 |
| 5.5-18 | Summary of relocations and displacements impacts and mitigations | 5.5-23 |
| 5.6 | Historic Preservation | |
| 5.6-1 | Area of Potential Effect..... | 5.6-4 |
| 5.6-2 | Summary of effect findings for historic resources in the APE | 5.6-7 |
| 5.6-3 | Adverse effect findings for historic properties | 5.6-10 |
| 5.6-4 | Summary of historic preservation impacts and mitigations | 5.6-14 |
| 5.7 | Paleontological Resources | |
| 5.7-1 | Area of Potential Effect..... | 5.7-2 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|---------|
| 5.7-2 | Summary of paleontological resources impacts and mitigations..... | 5.7-5 |
| 5.8 | Visual Resources and Aesthetic Qualities | |
| 5.8-1 | Visual resources along I-70..... | 5.8-3 |
| 5.8-2 | Effects to visual resources by type of improvement..... | 5.8-7 |
| 5.8-3 | Vantage points..... | 5.8-11 |
| 5.8-4 | Vantage Point 1: Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood north of I-70..... | 5.8-12 |
| 5.8-5 | Vantage Point 2: Swansea Elementary School..... | 5.8-14 |
| 5.8-6 | Vantage Point 3: Elyria and Swansea Neighborhood south of I-70..... | 5.8-15 |
| 5.8-7 | Aesthetic quality effects summary..... | 5.8-18 |
| 5.8-8 | Summary of visual resources and aesthetic qualities impacts and mitigations..... | 5.8-21 |
| 5.9 | Parks and Recreation | |
| 5.9-1 | Parks and recreational areas in the study area..... | 5.9-3 |
| 5.9-2 | Section 6(f) resources in the study area..... | 5.9-4 |
| 5.9-3 | Swansea Elementary School impacts..... | 5.9-5 |
| 5.9-4 | Swansea Elementary School Perspective: No-Action Alternative, North Option..... | 5.9-6 |
| 5.9-5 | Swansea Elementary School: No-Action Alternative, North Option..... | 5.9-7 |
| 5.9-6 | Swansea Elementary School Perspective: Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... | 5.9-8 |
| 5.9-7 | Swansea Elementary School: Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... | 5.9-8 |
| 5.9-8 | Swansea Elementary School Perspective: Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option..... | 5.9-9 |
| 5.9-9 | Swansea Elementary School: Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option..... | 5.9-10 |
| 5.9-10 | Swansea Elementary School Perspective: Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option..... | 5.9-11 |
| 5.9-11 | Swansea Elementary School: Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option..... | 5.9-11 |
| 5.9-12 | South Platte River Greenway Trail..... | 5.9-12 |
| 5.9-13 | Globeville Landing Park..... | 5.9-14 |
| 5.9-14 | Sand Creek Greenway Trail and proposed realignment..... | 5.9-15 |
| 5.9-15 | Recommended mitigation measures..... | 5.9-16 |
| 5.9-16 | Summary of parks and recreational areas impacts and mitigations..... | 5.9-19 |
| 5.10 | Air Quality | |
| 5.10-1 | Air quality study areas..... | 5.10-8 |
| 5.10-2 | Existing (2010) criteria pollutant emissions (study area, tons per day)..... | 5.10-10 |
| 5.10-3 | Existing (2010) MSAT emissions (study area, tons per day)..... | 5.10-11 |
| 5.10-4 | Existing (2010) greenhouse gas emissions..... | 5.10-12 |
| 5.10-5 | Modeling process for the carbon monoxide hotspot analysis..... | 5.10-15 |
| 5.10-6 | Modeling Process for the PM10 Hotspot Analysis..... | 5.10-19 |
| 5.10-7 | Interchange traffic volumes (2035)..... | 5.10-20 |
| 5.10-8 | Modeling process for the criteria pollutant, MSAT, and greenhouse gas analyses..... | 5.10-23 |
| 5.10-9 | Carbon monoxide hotspot analysis at I-70 and Colorado Boulevard..... | 5.10-27 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|---------|
| 5.10-10 | Receptors showing maximum PM10 concentrations within the I-70/I-25 hotspot study area..... | 5.10-30 |
| 5.10-11 | Receptors showing maximum PM10 concentrations within the I-70/I-225 hotspot study area..... | 5.10-30 |
| 5.10-12 | PM10 hotspot analysis | 5.10-31 |
| 5.10-13 | PM10 concentrations at Swansea Elementary School..... | 5.10-33 |
| 5.10-14 | PM2.5 emission inventories (tons per day) | 5.10-35 |
| 5.10-15 | PM10 emission inventories (tons per day) | 5.10-35 |
| 5.10-16 | Carbon monoxide emission inventories (tons per day) | 5.10-36 |
| 5.10-17 | Sulfur dioxide emission inventories (tons per day)..... | 5.10-37 |
| 5.10-18 | Nitrogen oxides emission inventories (tons per day)..... | 5.10-38 |
| 5.10-19 | Volatile organic compounds emission inventories (tons per day) | 5.10-38 |
| 5.10-20 | Combined MSAT emission inventories (tons per day)..... | 5.10-39 |
| 5.10-21 | Design year (2035) MSAT emission inventories comparison | 5.10-39 |
| 5.10-22 | Greenhouse gas emission inventory (atmospheric carbon dioxide, tons per day) | 5.10-40 |
| 5.10-23 | Excavation and fill material handled by alternative | 5.10-41 |
| 5.10-24 | Summary of potential air quality impacts and potential emission reduction strategies..... | 5.10-45 |
| 5.11 | Energy | |
| 5.11-1 | Study area for energy resources..... | 5.11-2 |
| 5.11-2 | Construction costs and energy consumption during construction | 5.11-4 |
| 5.11-3 | Operational energy consumption per day (2035)..... | 5.11-5 |
| 5.11-4 | Summary of energy impacts and mitigations | 5.11-6 |
| 5.12 | Noise | |
| 5.12-1 | Noise study area | 5.12-3 |
| 5.12-2 | CDOT noise abatement criteria..... | 5.12-4 |
| 5.12-3 | Existing noise conditions in Globeville | 5.12-6 |
| 5.12-4 | Noise impacts in Globeville for No-Action Alternative | 5.12-7 |
| 5.12-5 | Noise impacts in Globeville for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose Lanes Option..... | 5.12-8 |
| 5.12-6 | Noise impacts in Globeville for Build Alternatives, Managed Lanes Option..... | 5.12-9 |
| 5.12-7 | Impact summary in Globeville | 5.12-10 |
| 5.12-8 | Existing noise conditions in Elyria | 5.12-11 |
| 5.12-9 | Existing noise conditions in Swansea | 5.12-12 |
| 5.12-10 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, North Option..... | 5.12-14 |
| 5.12-11 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, South Option | 5.12-15 |
| 5.12-12 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... | 5.12-17 |
| 5.12-13 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option | 5.12-18 |
| 5.12-14 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option | 5.12-20 |
| 5.12-15 | Noise impacts in Elyria and Swansea for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option | 5.12-22 |
| 5.12-16 | Impact summary in Elyria | 5.12-23 |

| | | |
|---------|--|---------|
| 5.12-17 | Impact summary in Swansea | 5.12-24 |
| 5.12-18 | Existing noise conditions in Stapleton | 5.12-25 |
| 5.12-19 | Impact summary in Stapleton | 5.12-26 |
| 5.12-20 | Existing noise conditions at Peoria Street | 5.12-27 |
| 5.12-21 | Existing noise conditions in Montbello | 5.12-28 |
| 5.12-22 | Noise impacts at Peoria Street for Build Alternatives | 5.12-29 |
| 5.12-23 | Noise impacts in Montbello for General-Purpose Lanes Option | 5.12-30 |
| 5.12-24 | Noise impacts in Montbello for Managed Lanes Option | 5.12-31 |
| 5.12-25 | Impact summary at Peoria Street | 5.12-32 |
| 5.12-26 | Impact summary in Montbello | 5.12-32 |
| 5.12-27 | Existing noise conditions in Aurora | 5.12-33 |
| 5.12-28 | Noise impacts in Aurora for No-Action Alternative | 5.12-34 |
| 5.12-29 | Noise impacts in Aurora for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose Lanes Option | 5.12-35 |
| 5.12-30 | Noise impacts in Aurora for Build Alternatives, Managed Lanes Option | 5.12-35 |
| 5.12-31 | Impact summary in Aurora | 5.12-36 |
| 5.12-32 | Mitigation summary in Globeville for the Build Alternatives | 5.12-39 |
| 5.12-33 | Mitigation benefits to Globeville for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose Lanes Option | 5.12-39 |
| 5.12-34 | Mitigation benefits to Globeville for Build Alternatives, Managed Lanes Option | 5.12-40 |
| 5.12-35 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, North Option | 5.12-41 |
| 5.12-36 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, North Option | 5.12-42 |
| 5.12-37 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, South Option | 5.12-43 |
| 5.12-38 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for No-Action Alternative, South Option | 5.12-44 |
| 5.12-39 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option | 5.12-45 |
| 5.12-40 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option | 5.12-46 |
| 5.12-41 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option | 5.12-47 |
| 5.12-42 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option | 5.12-48 |
| 5.12-43 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option | 5.12-49 |
| 5.12-44 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option | 5.12-50 |
| 5.12-45 | Mitigation summary in Elyria and Swansea for the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option | 5.12-51 |
| 5.12-46 | Mitigation benefits in Elyria and Swansea for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified Option | 5.12-52 |
| 5.12-47 | Mitigation summary in Elyria for Build Alternatives | 5.12-53 |
| 5.12-48 | Mitigation summary in Swansea north of I-70 for Build Alternatives | 5.12-54 |
| 5.12-49 | Mitigation summary in Swansea south of I-70 for Build Alternatives | 5.12-54 |
| 5.12-50 | Mitigation summary in Peoria Street and Montbello | 5.12-56 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|---------|
| 5.12-51 | Mitigation benefits at Peoria Street for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose and Managed Lanes Options | 5.12-57 |
| 5.12-52 | Mitigation benefits in Montbello for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose and Managed Lanes Options | 5.12-58 |
| 5.12-53 | Mitigation benefits in Aurora for Build Alternatives, General-Purpose Lanes Option..... | 5.12-59 |
| 5.12-54 | Mitigation benefits in Aurora for Build Alternatives, Managed Lanes Option | 5.12-60 |
| 5.12-55 | Mitigation summary in Aurora..... | 5.12-61 |
| 5.12-56 | Noise wall mitigation summary by neighborhood..... | 5.12-63 |
| 5.12-57 | Summary of noise impacts and mitigation measures..... | 5.12-66 |
| 5.13 | Biological Resources | |
| 5.13-1 | Biological resources study area | 5.13-2 |
| 5.13-2 | Mule deer activity areas | 5.13-6 |
| 5.13-3 | White-tailed deer activity areas | 5.13-6 |
| 5.13-4 | Black-tailed prairie dog colonies..... | 5.13-7 |
| 5.13-5 | Designated Bald Eagle winter range..... | 5.13-7 |
| 5.13-6 | Projected direct impacts to deer, Bald Eagle, and black-tailed prairie dog habitats..... | 5.13-8 |
| 5.13-7 | Projected impacts to riparian areas caused by the Build Alternatives1 | 5.13-9 |
| 5.13-8 | Summary of biological resources impacts and mitigation measures..... | 5.13-16 |
| 5.14 | Floodplains and Drainage/Hydrology | |
| 5.14-1 | Floodplains and drainage study area | 5.14-2 |
| 5.14-2 | Potential ponding areas..... | 5.14-3 |
| 5.14-3 | Onsite drainage system north of I-70 | 5.14-5 |
| 5.14-4 | Offsite drainage for the Partial Cover Lowered Alternative south of I-70 | 5.14-6 |
| 5.14-5 | Summary of floodplains and drainage/hydrology impacts and mitigations..... | 5.14-7 |
| 5.15 | Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S | |
| 5.15-1 | Wetlands and other waters of the U.S. in the study area | 5.15-3 |
| 5.15-2 | Impacts to wetlands in the study area1 | 5.15-6 |
| 5.15-3 | Impacts to other waters of the U.S. in the study area (all jurisdictional)..... | 5.15-7 |
| 5.15-4 | Summary of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. impacts and mitigations | 5.15-9 |
| 5.16 | Water Quality | |
| 5.16-1 | Water quality study area..... | 5.16-2 |
| 5.16-2 | South Platte River water quality effect summary..... | 5.16-3 |
| 5.16-3 | Sand Creek water quality effect summary..... | 5.16-4 |
| 5.16-4 | Water quality factor summary..... | 5.16-5 |
| 5.16-5 | Summary of water quality impacts and mitigations | 5.16-9 |
| 5.17 | Geology and Soils | |
| 5.17-1 | Geology and soils resources study area | 5.17-2 |
| 5.17-2 | Comparison of surface, groundwater, and bedrock elevations | 5.17-3 |
| 5.17-3 | Summary of geology and soils impacts and mitigations..... | 5.17-5 |
| 5.18 | Hazardous Materials | |
| 5.18-1 | Hazardous materials study area (construction limits)..... | 5.18-3 |
| 5.18-2 | Environmental records results (2008 and 2012) within the study area | 5.18-3 |

| | | |
|---|---|---------|
| 5.18-3 | CERCLIS sites | 5.18-5 |
| 5.18-4 | CERCLIS, No Further Remedial Action Planned sites..... | 5.18-6 |
| 5.18-5 | National Priority List sites | 5.18-6 |
| 5.18-6. | RCRA corrective action sites..... | 5.18-7 |
| 5.18-7 | Solid waste landfill sites | 5.18-8 |
| 5.18-8 | Voluntary Cleanup Plan sites | 5.18-8 |
| 5.18-9 | Petroleum storage tank locations..... | 5.18-10 |
| 5.18-10 | Leaking underground storage tank locations | 5.18-10 |
| 5.18-11 | Summary of potential hazardous materials sites and area of ground disturbance impacted by project alternatives | 5.18-13 |
| 5.18-12 | Summary of hazardous materials impacts and mitigations | 5.18-16 |
| | | |
| 5.19 | Utilities | |
| 5.19-1 | Study area for utilities analysis..... | 5.19-2 |
| 5.19-2 | Summary of utilities impacts and mitigations | 5.19-23 |
| | | |
| 5.22 | Summary of Impacts and Mitigations | |
| 5.22-1 | Summary of transportation impacts and mitigations | 5.22-1 |
| 5.22-2 | Summary of social and economic conditions impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-2 |
| 5.22-3 | Summary of environmental justice impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-4 |
| 5.22-4 | Summary of land use impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-8 |
| 5.22-5 | Summary of relocations and displacements impacts and mitigations | 5.22-9 |
| 5.22-6 | Summary of historic preservation impacts and mitigations | 5.22-10 |
| 5.22-7 | Summary of paleontological resources impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-11 |
| 5.22-8 | Summary of visual resources and aesthetic qualities impacts and mitigations.... | 5.22-12 |
| 5.22-9 | Summary of parks and recreational areas impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-13 |
| 5.22-10 | Summary of potential air quality impacts and potential emission reduction strategies..... | 5.22-14 |
| 5.22-11 | Summary of energy impacts and mitigations | 5.22-15 |
| 5.22-12 | Summary of noise impacts and mitigation measures..... | 5.22-16 |
| 5.22-13 | Summary of biological resources impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-18 |
| 5.22-14 | Summary of floodplains and drainage/hydrology impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-19 |
| 5.22-15 | Summary of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. impacts and mitigations | 5.22-20 |
| 5.22-16 | Summary of water quality impacts and mitigations | 5.22-21 |
| 5.22-17 | Summary of geology and soils impacts and mitigations..... | 5.22-22 |
| 5.22-18 | Summary of hazardous materials impacts and mitigations | 5.22-23 |
| 5.22-19 | Summary of utilities impacts and mitigations | 5.22-24 |
| | | |
| Chapter 6: Cumulative Impacts | | |
| 6-1 | Key resources evaluated for cumulative impacts..... | 6-2 |
| 6-2 | Cumulative impact resource study areas | 6-4 |
| 6-3 | Transportation and development project locations | 6-6 |
| 6-4 | Neighborhood new home construction..... | 6-8 |
| 6-5 | Project and regional vehicle miles of travel (2035) | 6-17 |
| 6-6 | 2035 project and regional emissions (tons per day) | 6-17 |
| 6-7 | 2010 volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides regional emissions (tons per day) | 6-18 |
| | | |
| Chapter 7: Community Outreach and Agency Involvement | | |
| 7-1 | Community outreach process | 7-2 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 7-2 | Public hearings..... | 7-3 |
| 7-3 | PACT process | 7-6 |
| 7-4 | Corridor-wide meetings held after the PACT process..... | 7-8 |

Chapter 8: Section 4(f) Evaluation

| | | |
|------|---|------|
| 8-1 | Summary of project alternatives and options | 8-4 |
| 8-2 | No-Action Alternative | 8-5 |
| 8-3 | Revised Viaduct Alternative | 8-6 |
| 8-4 | Partial Cover Lowered Alternative..... | 8-6 |
| 8-5 | Anticipated de minimis findings | 8-9 |
| 8-6 | Overview of Section 4(f) historic resources subject to a use..... | 8-11 |
| 8-7 | Summary of Section 4(f) historic resource uses..... | 8-12 |
| 8-8 | Burlington Ditch/O'Brien Canal—No-Action and Build Alternatives | 8-14 |
| 8-9 | Market Street Railroad/Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Segment— No-Action Alternative | 8-16 |
| 8-10 | Market Street Railroad/Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Segment— Revised Viaduct Alternative | 8-17 |
| 8-11 | Market Street Railroad/Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Segment— Partial Cover Lowered Alternative..... | 8-19 |
| 8-12 | Union Pacific Beltline Railroad Segment—Build Alternatives | 8-21 |
| 8-13 | Denver and Kansas Pacific/Union Pacific Railroad Segment—No-Action Alternative | 8-23 |
| 8-14 | Denver and Kansas Pacific/Union Pacific Railroad Segment—Revised Viaduct Alternative | 8-24 |
| 8-15 | Denver and Kansas Pacific/Union Pacific Railroad Segment—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 8-26 |
| 8-16 | Rocky Mountain Arsenal Railroad Segment—Build Alternatives | 8-28 |
| 8-17 | York Street/East 40th Avenue Brick Sanitary Sewer—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative1 | 8-29 |
| 8-18 | Colonial Manor Motel—No-Action Alternative | 8-32 |
| 8-19 | Colonial Manor Motel—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option | 8-33 |
| 8-20 | Colonial Manor Motel—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 8-34 |
| 8-21 | Univar—Build Alternatives | 8-37 |
| 8-22 | Safeway Distribution Center Historic District—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... | 8-39 |
| 8-23 | Safeway Distribution Center Historic District—Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option | 8-39 |
| 8-24 | Safeway Distribution Center Historic District—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative..... | 8-40 |
| 8-25 | Nestlé Purina PetCare Company—No-Action Alternative..... | 8-42 |
| 8-26 | Sanchez businesses—No-Action Alternative..... | 8-45 |
| 8-27 | Sanchez businesses—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option | 8-46 |
| 8-28 | Sanchez businesses—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 8-47 |
| 8-29 | Brown and Alarid property and Toth/Kelly residence—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 8-50 |
| 8-30 | Rodriguez residence and 4541 Clayton LLC property—Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option | 8-53 |
| 8-31 | Rudy/Bernal and Garcia residences—No-Action Alternative, North Option..... | 8-56 |
| 8-32 | Rudy/Bernal and Garcia residences—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... | 8-56 |
| 8-33 | Rudy/Bernal and Garcia residences—Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option..... | 8-57 |
| 8-34 | Rudy/Bernal and Garcia residences—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative | 8-58 |

8-35 Kenworthy/Wyckoff residence—Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option 8-61

8-36 Portales residence—No-Action Alternative, North Option 8-64

8-37 Portales residence—No-Action Alternative, South Option 8-64

8-38 Portales residence—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... 8-65

8-39 Portales residence—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative 8-65

8-40 Summary of Alfred R. Wessel Historic District contributing resources subject
to a use 8-68

8-41 Alfred R. Wessel Historic District—No-Action Alternative, North Option 8-69

8-42 Alfred R. Wessel Historic District—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option..... 8-71

8-43 Alfred R. Wessel Historic District—Revised Viaduct Alternative, South Option 8-72

8-44 Alfred R. Wessel Historic District—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative,
Basic Option 8-73

8-45 Alfred R. Wessel Historic District—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative,
Modified Option 8-74

8-46 Overview of Section 4(f) parks and recreational areas subject to a use 8-76

8-47 Summary of Section 4(f) parks and recreational area uses 8-76

8-48 Globeville Landing Park—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative 8-78

8-49 South Platte River Greenway Trail—No-Action and Build Alternatives 8-80

8-50 Swansea Elementary School—No-Action Alternative, North Option..... 8-83

8-51 Swansea Elementary School—Revised Viaduct Alternative, North Option 8-84

8-52 Swansea Elementary School—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Basic Option..... 8-85

8-53 Swansea Elementary School—Partial Cover Lowered Alternative, Modified
Option..... 8-85

8-54 Recreational and non-recreational space for the Swansea Elementary School
playground with the Build Alternatives 8-86

8-55 Swansea Elementary School options for Revised Viaduct Alternative, North
Option..... 8-88

8-56 Swansea Elementary School options for Partial Cover Lowered Alternative 8-90

8-57 Alternatives evaluated during screening and Section 4(f) resources 8-92

8-58 Summary of Section 4(f) property minimization measures..... 8-101

8-59 Section 4(f) properties no longer subject to a use compared to 2008 Draft EIS 8-104

8-60 Summary of Section 4(f) property use 8-105

8-61 Historic resource and contributing property use..... 8-110

8-62 Relocation and land use impacts 8-113

8-63 Conceptual design of urban landscape on the highway cover—Partial Cover
Lowered Alternative 8-116

8-64 Conceptual design for activities under the viaduct—No-Action and Revised
Viaduct Alternatives 8-117

8-65 Summary of least-overall-harm analysis 8-122

Table of Contents: Volume 2 of 3

Attachment A – Alternative Maps
Attachment B – Agency Consultation
Attachment C – Alternative Analysis Technical Report
Attachment D – Community Outreach and Agency Involvement Technical Report
Attachment E – Traffic Technical Report
Attachment F – Environmental Justice Technical Report
Attachment G – Conceptual Stage Relocation Technical Report
Attachment H – Hazardous Materials Technical Report

Table of Contents: Volume 3 of 3

Attachment I – Section 106 Determinations of Eligibility and Effects
Attachment J – Air Quality Technical Report
Attachment K – Traffic Noise Technical Report
Attachment L – Biological Assessment
Attachment M – Hydrology & Hydraulics Technical Report
Attachment N – Wetlands and Other Waters of the US Technical Report

This page intentionally left blank.